WILDLIFE HABITAT EVALUATION

BACKGROUND:

Natural Resources Conservation Service policy for assistance on private lands has, since its inception, required that conservation practice installation be accomplished with consideration for wildlife and wildlife habitat.

Application of conservation practices is generally considered to be beneficial for wildlife. Practices such as field borders, filter strips, grassed waterways, proper grazing management, and conservation tillage generally increase food, water, or cover and improve diversity for most wildlife species.

Practices such as brush management, drainage, timber stand improvement and pasture planting can reduce needed food and cover when applied without wildlife consideration. The effect of conservation practice installation on wildlife largely depends on practice selection, design, and plant species used.

It is not the responsibility of the Natural Resources Conservation Service to determine the extent to which landowners may or should consider wildlife needs in their operation. Neither does the NRCS determine which particular wildlife species should be managed. These decisions are made by the landowner based on economics, legal constraints, local conditions, and landowner objectives.

NRCS personnel have a responsibility and obligation to determine and explain to the decisionmaker what effect a planned system of conservation practices will have on wildlife resources of the particular land unit. Decisionmakers must be provided with this information in order to make intelligent and informed decisions about their property. The NRCS must have this information to assess the impact of practice installation and determine if service policy requiring consideration of wildlife is being properly followed. In the past, conservation practices were often designed and installed with little thought or study given to their effects on wildlife, unless the landowner indicated a specific wildlife interest.

Adoption of the total resource management policy (SWAPA) in conservation planning provides that emphasis be directed to plants, air, and animals in addition to soil and water. It requires that quality criteria be established for each of the five resources. Resource management systems consisting of various conservation practices are measured against these quality criteria to determine if acceptable levels of conservation are being met. A national quality criterion for wildlife habitat has been set at 0.5 or 50% of potential to meet the resource management system requirement, existing or planned, regardless of the landuse. For a wildlife land RMS, a score of .75 or 75% is required.

In order to measure the degree to which the resource management systems meet the quality criteria, a method of evaluation is required. A subjective evaluation based on the planner's knowledge is the simplest form. However, this method is dependent on the interest, ability, and knowledge of the planner. This method has been widely used in the past and its success or failure has been dependent upon the wildlife training provided to planners and the technical support provided by biologists. Unfortunately, the quality and amount of wildlife management training and technical assistance provided to field office personnel since 1985 has been minimal due to other workload requirements.

The attached habitat evaluation procedure is designed for use when planning a resource management system where wildlife is not the primary objective or intensive management for a species is not desired. This evaluation procedure is based primarily on diversity to give a general rating applicable to many different species.

INTRODUCTION:

The following evaluation is designed for use by employees who provide assistance in farm planning and who have limited training and knowledge in wildlife management. It is intended to assist decisionmakers in understanding the effects of various agricultural practices on wildlife and to provide documentation of the effects of Resource Management System implementation on wildlife resources.

This habitat evaluation is simplified to limit data input and the time required to complete it. It cannot be used to make detailed management recommendations required for intensive management. If the primary objective for a field or planning unit is wildlife, or it is to be intensively managed, a species based wildlife habitat appraisal procedure should be used, and the NRCS biologist or South Carolina Department of Natural Resources biologist contacted.

PROCEDURE:

(1) Identify all crop, forest, old field, pasture, and wetland areas on the tract or farm. Fields should include borders around them such as woody fence rows that divide crop fields. Hayland should be included with pasture. If a particular type of landuse does not seem to fit any of the types listed, contact the state biologist.

- (2) If the tract has only one field in a habitat type, or all fields within a habitat type are similar, only one field needs to be evaluated. If the tract has fields that vary in habitat quality within a habitat type, all fields should be inventoried and a weighted average score computed. If there are significant differences in the same field, the field may be divided and more than one evaluation done. For example, if one forest field had a pine plantation on part and an old mixed pine hardwood stand on the remainder, the two areas should be evaluated separately if more than one of these variations occurs on the farm, use the weighted average score for the landuse.
- (3) Complete the worksheet inventory forms (see attachments) for the appropriate field(s) and compute the score for each habitat type. This evaluation will provide information on the quality of habitat for the EXISTING CONDITION. Observing what features receive a low score will help the planner determine what could be done to improve the habitat.
- (4) Repeat the evaluation for each of the Resource Management Systems being considered and determine the effects of each of the PLANNED alternatives on the wildlife resource. If the score for any existing habitat type is low, practices should be chosen which will improve habitat quality.
- (5) Complete the summary sheet to determine if the selected alternative meets the quality criteria for a Resource Management System and is acceptable to the decisionmaker.

Quality Criteria: In order to meet the FOTG Quality Criteria for wild animal habitat, the Habitat Type Index for each land use must have an index greater than 0.5. In general, a habitat index below 0.25 indicates poor habitat, between 0.25 and 0.5 is fair habitat, 0.5 to 0.75 is good and above 0.75 would be excellent habitat.

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR CROPLAND HABITAT

Participant	Trac		
Date	Field		
Observer _	Acre	es	
Note: This form may be used for all fields that	t are planned and	managed alike.	
CROPLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST	PLAN
Crop Residue Management			
(>75% acreage)			
Continuous no-till (long term)	15		
No-till farming, 3 out of 5 years	12		
No fall tillage only	8		
Conventional and fall tillage	1		
*Add 2 bonus points, if cover crops are no-till dr with 60% residue left on the surface.	illed		
Crop Species			
(>50% acreage & years)			
Corn, soybeans, sorghum, millets,			
and/or small grains	10		
All else	1		
Distance to forest (>10 ac.) or			
woody cover (>25 ft. wide) connecting		- <u></u> -	
to forest (>10 acres).			
>75% of field within 330 ft.	15		
50 - 75% of field within 330 ft.	10		
25 - 50% of field within 330 ft.	5		
<25% of field within 330 ft	1		
Distance to native herbaceous or NWSG strips (>			
within field, such as filter strips, waterways, diversi			
>75% of field within 330 ft.	10		
50 – 75 % of field within 330 ft.	7		
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	4		
<25% of field within 330 ft	1		
Percent of Field Perimeter With a Field Border			
For each 10% of field perimeter with a wi			
>25 ft. native herbaceous vegetation.	Add 5 points/10		
> 10 ft. native herbaceous vegetation.	Add 3 points/10)%.	
>10 ft. mixture of introduced,			
and native herbaceous vegetation,	Add 1 point/10)%.	
(A) Total Cropland Habitat Points (100 maximum)		
(B) Cropland Habitat Index (Total points/100)			

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET **FOR OLD FIELD HABITAT**

(2 acres or more)

Participant	Tract No		
Date	Fi	eld No	
Observer		cres	
Note: This form may be used for all fields tha	ıt are planned ar	nd managed alik	e.
OLD FIELD HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST.	PLAN
Species Composition			
Many species of grass, legumes, forbs (>4) 1	0		
Stand dominated by a few species (2-4	1) 5		
Stand dominated by a single species (>	>75%) 1		
Manipulation (Burning, disking)			
3 year rotation	25		
2 year rotation	15		
Mowing (2-3 year rotation)	10		
Annual or > 3 years rotation	1		
Distance to woody cover (>25 ft. wide) conne	ecting		
to forest at least 10 acres in size.			
>75% of field within 330 ft.	15		
50 - 75 % of field within 330 ft.	10		
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	5		
<25% of field within 330 ft	1		
Percent of Field in early successional herbac	ceous		
vegetation (1 to 3 yrs. Old)			
For each 10% of field: add 5 points. (N	Max. 50 points)		
(A) Total Old Field Habitat Points (100 maxin	,		
(B) Old Field Habitat Index (Total points/100))		

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR

PASTURELAND/HAYLAND HABITAT

Participant	Tract No			
Date	Fi			
Observer		cres		
Note: This form may be used for all fields that are plan	nned and managed	alike.		
PASTURELAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST	PLAN	
Composition				
(>50% acreage)				
Native warm season mixture (>2) with forbs	25			
Single native grass-legume mixture	9			
Introduced and native grass (>50%) mix	8			
Single legume	7			
Single native warm season grass seeded				
Or managed at forage rates.	6			
Introduced grass with clover	5			
Bermudagrass with small grain	4			
Bahiagrass	3			
Fescue or bermudagrass	1			
Prescribed Grazing Plan (528A) or Forage Management (511)				
With native grass or legume in mix	10	-		
Without native grass or legume in mix	3			
Corridor management				
Distance to ungrazed woody cover (>25 ft. wide connecting to forest at least 10 acres in size.				
>75% of field within 330 ft.	25			
50 - 75 % of field within 330 ft.	15			
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	10			
<25% of field within 330 ft	1			
Distance to ungrazed native herbaceous or NWSG are	eas (>25 ft. wide)			
Such as field border or odd corners, etc.				
>75% of field within 330 ft.	25			
50 - 75 % of field within 330 ft.	15			
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	10			
<25% of field within 330 ft	1			
Fence rows, cross fencing (>50%)				
with ungrazed woody cover (>10 ft. wide)	15			
with grazed woody cover (>10 ft. wide)	5			
(A) Total Pastureland/hayland Habitat Points (100 max	imum)			
(B) Pastureland/hayland Habitat Index (Total points/100	0)			

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET

FOR

PINE FOREST HABITAT

(Predominantly Pine)

Participant	Tract No Field No					
Date						
Observer		cres				
Note: This form may be used for all pine forests that are planned and managed alike.						
PINE FOREST HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST	PLAN			
Mature Pine Stand Density, Basal Area						
<60 square ft/ac	25					
60-80 square ft/ac	10					
>80 square ft/ac	1					
<u>OR</u> if no overstory: Site (Clearcut area or pastureland conversions)						
Pine, other than longleaf, regeneration						
<300 trees per acre	25					
300-500 trees per acre	10					
>500 trees per acre, <50 trees per acre	1					
Longleaf Pine Restoration (300-500 trees per acre) Ex: 435 trees per acre (10 x 10 spacing) maximum 302 trees per acre (12 x 12 spacing) minimum Must contain a prescribed burning plan Must be Historical Longleaf Pine site	25					
Prescribed Burning						
2-3 year frequency	30					
Every year	10					
>3 year frequency	1					
Distance to native herbaceous cover (> 40 'wide,>1/2 ad	cre in size)					
>75% of stand within 330 ft.	25					
50-75 % of field within 330 ft.	15					
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	10					
<25% of stand within 330 ft	1					
Composition, >5% of stand (Max. 20 points)						
Mast producing oaks (>10" DBH) present	10	-				
Or seedlings planted	10					
Soft mast producers present or planted such as						
persimmon, blackberry, sumac, elderberry,						
black cherry	10					
DIACK CHELLY	10					
(A) Total Pine Forest Habitat Points (100 maximum)						
(B) Pine Forest Habitat Index (Total points/100)						

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET

FOR

HARDWOOD FOREST HABITAT

(Predominantly Hardwood)

Participant	Tract No
Date	Field No
Observer	Acres
Note: This form may be used for all hardwood	forests that are planned and managed alike.

EXISTING CONDITION (CIRCLE APPROPRIATE SCORE VALUE)					
TREE SIZE NUMBER OF HARDWOOD SPECIES				S	
TREE CLASS	SIZE	1	2 TO 5	> 5	
Seedlings	< 3 ' tall	1 point	10 points	15 points	
Saplings	> 3 ' tall, < 3" DBH	1 point	15 points	20 points	
Poles	3 – 10 " DBH	2 points	20 points	25 points	
Sawtimber	> 10 " DBH	10 points	25 points	30 points	
No. of cavity trees or dead snags (>10") present, regardless of number of species		2 points	5 points	10 points	

PLANNED CONDITION (CIRCLE APPROPRIATE SCORE VALUE)						
TREE SIZE NUMBER OF HARDWOOD SPECIES				S		
TREE CLASS	SIZE	1	2 TO 5	> 5		
Seedlings	< 3 ' tall	1 point	10 points	15 points		
Saplings	> 3 ' tall, < 3" DBH	1 point	15 points	20 points		
Poles	3 – 10 " DBH	2 points	20 points	25 points		
Sawtimber	> 10 " DBH	10 points	25 points	30 points		
No. of cavity trees or dead snags (>10") planned regardless of number of species		2 points	5 points	10 points		

HARDWOOD FOREST HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST	PLAN
(A) Total Hardwood Forest Habitat Points (1)(B) Hardwood Forest Habitat Index (Total p	,		

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR RIPARIAN HABITAT

Participant	Tract No
Date	Field No.
Observer	Acres

Note: This form may be used for riparian areas adjacent to streams, ponds, and/or wetlands.

RIPARIAN HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST.	PLAN
Species Composition (>50 % of the area)			
Mixed hardwood	25		
Mixed Pine-Hardwood	20		
Native shrubs and/or herbaceous			
vegetation	15		
Pine trees	1		
Width of Riparian Area (>50 % of the area)			
>100 feet	25		
51-99 feet	20		
35-50 feet	15		
15-49 feet	10		
<15 feet	1		
Grazed or ungrazed (>50 % of the area)			
Ungrazed	25		
Grazed	5		
Tree canopy cover			
. >75 percent canopy cover	25		
50-74 percent canopy cover	20		
25-49 percent canopy cover	15		
<25 percent canopy cover	1		
	(100		
(A) Total Riparian Habitat Index Poi			-
(B) Riparian Habitat Index (Total por	ints/100)		

WILDLIFE HABITAT EVALUATION SUMMARY CALCULATION SHEET EXISTING CONDITION

HABITAT <u>TYPE</u>	FIELD NO.	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	WEIGHTED INDEX	HABITAT TYPE INDEX
		X X X X X X TOTAL	= =		
			Total Wt.	Index / Total acres	=
HABITAT TYPE	FIELD NO.	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	WEIGHTED INDEX	HABITAT TYPE INDEX
		X X X X TOTAL	= = =		
			Total Wt.	Index / Total acres	=
HABITAT TYPE	FIELD NO.	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	WEIGHTED INDEX	HABITAT TYPE INDEX
		X X X	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		
		TOTAL			
			Total Wt.	Index / Total acres	=
HABITAT TYPE	FIELD NO.	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	WEIGHTED INDEX	HABITAT TYPE INDEX
		X X X	= = =		
		TOTAL			
			Total Wt.	Index / Total acres	=

WILDLIFE HABITAT EVALUATION SUMMARY CALCULATION SHEET PLANNED CONDITION

HABITAT TYPE	FIELD NO.	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	WEIGHTED INDEX	HABITAT TYPE INDEX
		X X X	= = =		
		TOTAL	Total Wt	Index / Total conse	
HABITAT TYPE	FIELD NO.	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	Index / Total acres WEIGHTED INDEX	HABITAT TYPE INDEX
		X X X	= = =		
		TOTAL			
			Total Wt.	Index / Total acres	=
HABITAT TYPE	FIELD NO.	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	WEIGHTED INDEX	HABITAT TYPE INDEX
		X X X	= = =		
		TOTAL			
			Total Wt.	Index / Total acres	=
HABITAT TYPE	FIELD NO.	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	WEIGHTED INDEX	HABITAT TYPE INDEX
		X X X	= = =		
		TOTAL			
			Total Wt.	Index / Total acres	=

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) SUMMARY

The tract or farm habitat index is calculated by taking the sum of the weighted habitat indexes divided by the total acres in the planning area.

EXISTING CONDITION

WEIGHTED FARM/TRACT

HABITAT

HABITAT

TYPE	INDEX	ACRES	INDEX	INDEX	
Cropland Old Field Habitat Pastureland/Hayland Pine Forest Hardwood Forest Riparian Habitat	X X X X X	= = = =			
	TOTAL				
		Total Wt	. Index / Total acre	es =	
PLANNED CONDITION					
HABITAT TYPE	HABITAT INDEX	ACRES	WEIGHTED INDEX	FARM/TRACT INDEX	
Cropland Old Field Habitat Pastureland/Hayland Pine Forest Hardwood Forest Riparian Habitat	X X X X X X X	= =			
		Total Wt	. Index / Total acre	es =	
*Total Weighted Index of Criteria.	f Planned Condit	ion must be 0.5	or greater to n	neet RMS Quality	
For use with co	st_share nrogran	ns that require	a NFT Increas	so in HTI	

For use with cost-share programs that require a NET Increase in HTI

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) NET EFFECT OF PLAN

(Planned Farm/Tract Index -)	Existing	Farm/Tract Index)	= Net Effect of Plan
	-	=	